

FOLEY & LARDNER LLP
ONE MARITIME PLAZA, SIXTH FLOOR
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3409
TELEPHONE: 415.434.4484
FACSIMILE: 415.434.4507

LAURENCE R. ARNOLD, CA BAR NO. 133715
EILEEN R. RIDLEY, CA BAR NO. 151735
SCOTT P. INCIARDI, CA BAR NO. 228814
Attorneys for STANFORD HOSPITAL & CLINICS and
LUCILE PACKARD CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

STANFORD HOSPITAL & CLINICS and
LUCILE PACKARD CHILDREN'S
HOSPITAL,

Petitioners,

vs.

SERVICE EMPLOYEES
INTERNATIONAL UNION, LOCAL 715,

Respondent.

Case No: 5:07-CV-05158-JF

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
STANFORD HOSPITAL AND
CLINICS AND LUCILE PACKARD
CHILDREN'S HOSPITALS' MOTION
TO COMPEL RESPONSES TO
DISCOVERY SUBPOENA
PROPOUNDED TO UHW

Date: August 27, 2008
Time: 9:30 A.M.
Dept: Courtroom 4, 5th Floor

Judge: Hon. Jeremy Fogel
Magis. Judge: Hon. Richard Seeborg

SERVICE EMPLOYEES
INTERNATIONAL UNION, LOCAL 715

Petitioner and Counter-
Respondent,

vs.

STANFORD HOSPITAL & CLINICS and
LUCILE PACKARD CHILDREN'S
HOSPITAL

Respondents and Counter-
Petitioners.

Case No: 5:08-CV-00213-JF

Judge: Hon. Jeremy Fogel

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING STANFORD HOSPITAL AND CLINICS AND LUCILE PACKARD
CHILDREN'S HOSPITALS' MOTION TO COMPEL RESPONSES TO DISCOVERY SUBPOENA
PROPOUNDED TO UHW

CASE NOS. 5:07-CV-05158-JF, 5:08-CV-00213-JF, 5:08-CV-00215-JF;
5:08-CV-00216-JF; 5:08-CV-01726-JF; 5:08-CV-01727-JF

SERVICE EMPLOYEES
INTERNATIONAL UNION, LOCAL 715

Petitioner,

vs.

STANFORD HOSPITAL & CLINICS and
LUCILE PACKARD CHILDREN'S
HOSPITAL

Respondents.

Case No: 5:08-CV-00215-JF

Judge: Hon. Jeremy Fogel

SERVICE EMPLOYEES
INTERNATIONAL UNION, LOCAL 715

Petitioner,

vs.

STANFORD HOSPITAL & CLINICS and
LUCILE PACKARD CHILDREN'S
HOSPITAL

Respondents.

Case No: 5:08-CV-00216-JF

Judge: Hon. Jeremy Fogel

SERVICE EMPLOYEES
INTERNATIONAL UNION, LOCAL 715

Petitioner,

vs.

STANFORD HOSPITAL & CLINICS and
LUCILE PACKARD CHILDREN'S
HOSPITAL

Respondents.

Case No: 5:08-CV-01726-JF

Judge: Hon. Jeremy Fogel

SERVICE EMPLOYEES
INTERNATIONAL UNION, LOCAL 715

Petitioner,

vs.

STANFORD HOSPITAL & CLINICS and
LUCILE PACKARD CHILDREN'S
HOSPITAL

Respondents.

Case No: 5:08-CV-01727-JF

Judge: Hon. Jeremy Fogel

2

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING STANFORD HOSPITAL AND CLINICS AND LUCILE PACKARD
CHILDREN'S HOSPITALS' MOTION TO COMPEL RESPONSES TO DISCOVERY SUBPOENA
PROPOUNDED TO UHW

CASE NOS. 5:07-CV-05158-JF, 5:08-CV-00213-JF, 5:08-CV-00215-JF;
5:08-CV-00216-JF; 5:08-CV-01726-JF; 5:08-CV-01727-JF

1
2 The Motion to Compel Responses To Discovery Subpoena Propounded To UHW
3 (the "Motion") brought by Stanford Hospital And Clinics and Lucile Packard Children's
4 Hospital (the "Hospitals"), in the above-referenced related cases came on for hearing
5 before the above-captioned Court, the Honorable Richard Seeborg presiding, on
6 _____, 2008.

7 The Court, having considered the pleadings in this matter, and all papers submitted
8 in support of, and in opposition to, said motion, and having heard the argument of
9 counsel, and good cause appearing therefor, hereby **GRANTS** the Motion in its entirety.

10 Service Employees International Union, United Healthcare Workers - West
11 ("UHW") shall provide full and complete responses to the subpoenas propounded upon it
12 by the Hospitals in the above-referenced cases. UHW's responses shall include
13 electronically stored information that is responsive to the subpoenas, including website-
14 related information. Any withholding of responsive information on the basis of a
15 privilege or work product protection shall be supported by a privilege log that identifies
16 the documents withheld on a document by document basis, including the following
17 information:

- 18 1) the Bates number of the document;
- 19 2) the date of the document;
- 20 3) the specific individual author/s of the document;
- 21 4) the specific individual recipient/s of the document;
- 22 5) a precise document description;
- 23 6) the specific privilege/s claimed; and
- 24 7) the present location of the document.

25 UHW shall not withhold responsive information not subject to a privilege or work
26 product protection.

27 UHW is ordered to serve responsive documents on or before the tenth business

1 day following the date of this order.

2 It is further ordered that UHW shall pay the Hospitals' reasonable expenses
3 incurred in making this motion, including attorneys fees, in the amount of
4 \$_____.

5 IT IS SO ORDERED.

6
7 Dated:

8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

HON. RICHARD SEEBORG
MAGISTRATE JUDGE OF THE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA, SAN JOSE DIVISION